

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/650,516	WEISS ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Charles G. Freay	3746	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Charles G. Freay.

(3) _____.

(2) Panyin Hughes.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 May 2007

Time: 12:00

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

8

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner and the applicant's representative discussed the subparagraph of lines 11 and 12 in claim 8. The examiner noted that as presented the claim set forth that one of the pistons was in each of the end cover chambers. It was agreed that "each" should be deleted. Additionally, the Replacement Sheet of Fig. 1 was discussed. The examiner noted that the chambers (86, 88) were housing chambers and that therefore the label "END COVER CHAMBER" should be removed..